

Three new species of the genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 (Araneae, Sparassidae) from southern China

He Zhang^{1,2*}, Weitao Niu^{2,3*}, Jie Liu^{4,5}, Caijun Wang³, Changhao Hu^{1,2,4,5,6}

¹ College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Xingtai University, Xingtai 054001, Hebei, China

² Xingtai Innovation Center for Sweet Potato Germplasm and Rapid Propagation Technology, Xingtai 054001, Hebei, China

³ College of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Xingtai University, Xingtai 054001, Hebei, China

⁴ Arachnid Resource Centre of Hubei Province & Hubei Key Laboratory of Regional Development and Environmental Response, Faculty of Resources and Environmental Science, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, Hubei, China

⁵ Centre for Behavioural Ecology and Evolution, School of Life Sciences, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, Hubei, China

⁶ Hubei Broad Nature Technology Service Co., Ltd., Wuhan 430079, Hubei, China

Corresponding author: Changhao Hu (changhaohu1998@gmail.com)

Abstract

Three new species of the sparassid spider genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 are described from China: *O. biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, **sp. nov.** (♂) from Hunan Province, *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, **sp. nov.** (♂) from Chongqing Municipality and *O. lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, **sp. nov.** (♂♀) from Yunnan Province. Diagnoses, descriptions, photos and a distribution map of these three new species are provided.

Key words: Biodiversity, huntsman spiders, morphology, new division, sparassids, taxonomy



Academic editor: Cristina Rheims

Received: 16 December 2024

Accepted: 13 May 2025

Published: 16 July 2025

ZooBank: <https://zoobank.org/7A73A97F-AE84-4A3F-B5EC-9A6C764B177F>

Citation: Zhang H, Niu W, Liu J, Wang C, Hu C (2025) Three new species of the genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 (Araneae, Sparassidae) from southern China. ZooKeys 1245: 343–356. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1245.144552>

Copyright: © He Zhang et al.
This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Introduction

The genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 is the third-largest genus in the spider family Sparassidae Bertkau, 1872, with 166 known species (World Spider Catalog 2025). For a long time, this genus was used as a “dumping ground” for the family Sparassidae (Jäger and Kunz 2005). More than 60 species previously assigned to *Olios* have been transferred to other genera in past studies, particularly among Neotropical species (e.g., *Caayguara* Rheims, 2010, *Curicaberis* Rheims, 2015, *Meri* Rheims & Jäger, 2022, *Nolavia* Kammerer, 2006, and *Sadala* Simon, 1880) (Rheims 2010, 2015; Jäger 2020; Rheims and Jäger 2022). Jäger (2020) further revised *Olios* and reported eight *Olios* species-groups (*argelasius*-group, *auricomis*-group, *coenobitus*-group, *correvoni*-group, *hirtus*-group, *nentwigi*-group, *rossettii*-group, and *stimulator*-group), comprising 71 species. However, many species remain improperly assigned to *Olios*, necessitating further systematic revision (Jäger 2020).

Phylogenetic analyses of Sparassidae have consistently demonstrated that *Olios* is a polyphyletic assemblage (Jäger and Kunz 2005; Moradmand et al. 2014;

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Tong et al. 2018; Gorneau et al. 2022), which may explain the remarkable morphological diversity of copulatory organs in *Olios* species. Recent phylogenetic work by Gorneau et al. (2022) delimited the scope of true *Olios*, which is a monophyletic clade represented by four species: *O. argelasius* (Walckenaer, 1806) (type species) from the Mediterranean, *O. rossettii* (Leardi, 1901) from southern Asia, *O. lamarcki* (Latreille, 1806) from Sri Lanka, and an unidentified species from Singapore.

In China, seven *Olios* species are currently known, which are classified into three species-groups: *O. menghaiensis* (Wang & Zhang, 1990) within the *hirtus*-group; *O. digitatus* Sun, Li & Zhang, 2011, *O. nanningensis* (Hu & Ru, 1988), *O. scalptor* Jäger & Ono, 2001 and *O. suung* Jäger, 2012 within the *nentwigi*-group; and *O. tiantongensis* (Zhang & Kim, 1996), *O. sericeus* (Kroneberg, 1875) within the *rossettii*-group (Kroneberg 1875; Hu and Ru 1988; Wang and Zhang 1990; Zhang and Kim 1996; Jäger and Ono 2001; Jäger and Yin 2001; Sun et al. 2011; Jäger 2012, 2020; Hu et al. 2025). The aim of the current paper is to contribute to the taxonomic knowledge of *Olios* in China by describing three new species.

Material and methods

The specimens examined in this study are deposited in the Centre for Behavioral Ecology and Evolution (CBEE), College of Life Sciences, Hubei University in Wuhan. Specimens were examined using an Olympus SZX7 stereo microscope. Photographs were taken on a Leica M205C stereo microscope. The male palp was examined and photographed after dissection. The epigyne was examined after being dissected from the body and treated in a warm 0.1 mg/ml Protease K solution. Eye diameters were taken at the widest point. Legs and palpal measurements were given as total length (femur, patella, tibia, metatarsus [absent in palp], tarsus). All measurements were in millimeters (mm). Spination follows that given in Davies (1994).

The terminology used in this paper follows Jäger (2020). Abbreviations: **ALE** = anterior lateral eyes, **AME** = anterior median eyes, **C** = conductor, **CD** = copulatory duct, **CH** = clypeus height, **CO** = copulatory opening, **dRTA** = dorsal part of retrolateral tibial apophysis, **DS** = dorsal shield of prosoma, **E** = embolus, **ES** = epigynal slit, **FD** = fertilization duct, **Fe** = femur, **LL** = lateral lobes, **Mt** = metatarsus, **OS** = opisthosoma, **Pa** = patella, **PLE** = posterior lateral eyes, **PME** = posterior median eyes, **Pp** = palp, **ptA** = proximal tibial apophysis, **RTA** = retrolateral tibial apophysis, **S** = spermathecae, **TA** = tegular apophysis, **TAP I–IV** = projection I to IV of tegular apophysis, **Ti** = tibia, **vRTA** = ventral part of retrolateral tibial apophysis, **I, II, III, IV** = legs I to IV.

Result

Taxonomy

Family Sparassidae Bertkau, 1872

Genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837

Type species. *Olios argelasius* (Walckenaer, 1806).

Diagnosis. See Jäger (2020).

***Olios rossettii*-group**

Diagnosis. See Jäger (2020).

Species included. *Olios baulnyi* (Simon, 1874), *O. bhattacharjeei* (Saha & Raychaudhuri, 2007), *O. biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., *O. brachycephalus* Lawrence, 1938, *O. floweri* Lessert, 1921, *O. jaldaparaensis* Saha & Raychaudhuri, 2007, *O. japonicus* Jäger & Ono, 2000, *O. kiranae* Sethi & Tikader, 1988, *O. kolosvaryi* (Caporiacco, 1947), *O. longipes* (Simon, 1884), *O. lutescens* (Thorell, 1894), *O. mahabangkawitus* Barrion & Litsinger, 1995, *O. obesulus* (Pocock, 1901), *O. rossettii* (Leardi, 1901), *O. rotundiceps* (Pocock, 1901), *O. sericeus* (Kroneberg, 1875), *O. sherwoodi* Lessert, 1929, *O. suavis* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876), *O. tarandus* (Simon, 1897), *O. tener* (Thorell, 1891), *O. tiantongensis* (Zhang & Kim, 1996), *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.

Remarks. This species-group is characterized by the presence of one or two apophyses on palpal tibia, a variable tegular apophysis, and a small U-shaped embolus arising from the tegulum at the central to sub-central position (Jäger 2020). The two newly described species, *Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. and *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., exhibit all the diagnostic characteristics of this species-group. Therefore, they clearly belong to this species-group.

***Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.**

<https://zoobank.org/6525BA3E-BE51-4564-B1DD-5EA95D1F256A>

Figs 1, 2, 8

Type material. Holotype male: CHINA • Hunan Province: Changsha City, Yuelu District, Xihu Park, under bark, 28.20758°N, 112.94004°E, elevation 30 m, 19 December 2023 as subadult, molted to adult on 18 February 2024, Jiwei Lin leg.

Etymology. The specific name is a combination of the Latin *bi* (meaning double) and *processus* (meaning process, projection), referring to the two projections (TAP III, TAP IV) on the embolic base; noun.

Diagnosis. The male of *Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. resembles that of *O. rossettii* (Leardi, 1901) (cf. Fig. 1A–C vs. figs 146, 147 in Jäger 2020) by having two tibial apophyses, and a small U-shaped embolus arising sub-centrally from the tegulum, but can be recognized by: 1) retrolateral tibial apophysis leaf-shaped in retrolateral view, with a serrated retrolateral margin; and 2) tegular apophysis complex, with a sharp projection (TAP I) prolaterally, and two sharp projections (TAP III, TAP IV) on the embolic base (vs. retrolateral tibial apophysis triangular, tegular apophysis long triangular in *O. rossettii*).

The male of *Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. also resembles that of *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. (cf. Fig. 1A–C vs. fig. 3A–C) by having a horn-shaped proximal tibial apophysis, a leaf-shaped retrolateral tibial apophysis with a serrated retrolateral margin, sharp TAP I, lamellar TAP II, and a small U-shaped embolus arising sub-centrally from the tegulum, but can be recognized by the tegular apophysis with two sharp projections (TAP III, TAP IV) on the embolic base (vs. one projection (TAP III) in *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.).




Figure 1. *Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., left male palp. **A.** Prolateral; **B.** Ventral; **C.** Retrolateral. Abbreviations: C = conductor, E = embolus, ptA = proximal tibial apophysis, RTA = retrolateral tibial apophysis, TA = tegular apophysis, TAP I–IV = projection I to IV of tegular apophysis. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Description. Male (holotype): Total length 8.5; DS 4.0 long, 4.1 wide; OS 4.6 long, 3.2 wide. Eyes: AME 0.24; ALE 0.19; PME 0.19; PLE 0.20; AME–AME 0.17; AME–ALE 0.17; PME–PME 0.42; PME–PLE 0.31; AME–PME 0.15; ALE–PLE 0.13; CH AME 0.18; CH ALE 0.25. Measurements of palp and legs: Pp: 5.3 (1.5, 0.6, 1.1, –, 2.1); I: 19.8 (5.4, 1.6, 5.2, 6.0, 1.6); II: 20.3 (5.4, 1.9, 6.6, 3.1, 3.3); III: 14.9 (4.7, 1.3, 3.6, 3.6, 1.7); IV: 17.3 (5.3, 1.2, 4.6, 4.9, 1.3). Leg formula: II–I–IV–III. Spination: Pp: 131, 000, 2000; legs: Fe I–II 223, III 323, IV 321; Pa I–IV 000; Ti I 2124, II 2024, III 2124, IV 1204; Mt I–IV 2024. Cheliceral furrow with 2 anterior and 5 posterior teeth.

Palp (Fig. 1A–C): As in diagnosis, ptA arising submedially from Ti, RTA arising distally from Ti. Cymbium almost 1.5 times longer than Ti. TA complicated, with a sharp projection (TAP I) on prolateral margin, a lamellar projection (TAP II) on dorsal TA, and two sharp projections (TAP III, TAP IV) on embolic base. C tiny. E arising sub-centrally from tegulum in 9-o’clock-position.

Colouration (Fig. 2A, B): DS pale yellow, with brown patterns. Sternum light yellow. Legs yellow to light brown, with brown spots. OS dorsally yellow, with yellowish-brown patterns. OS ventrally brownish purple, with four yellow longitudinal lines; anterior part yellow.

Female: Unknown.

Distribution. China (Hunan Province) (Fig. 8).




Figure 2. *Olios biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., male habitus. **A.** Dorsal; **B.** Ventral. Scale bars: 2 mm.

***Olios uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.**

<https://zoobank.org/5F787A9C-980C-46CF-9ADB-0E9D9B5E9434>

Figs 3, 4, 8

Type material. Holotype male: CHINA • Chongqing Municipality: Beibei District, Southwest University, next to the international student apartment, 29.82852°N, 106.42972°E, elevation 253 m, 30 June 2015, Mingxin Liu leg.

Etymology. The specific name is a combination of the Latin *uni* (meaning single) and *processus* (meaning process, projection), referring to the single projection (TAP III) on the embolic base, noun.

Diagnosis. The male of *Olios uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. resembles that of *O. rossettii* (Leardi, 1901) (cf. Fig. 3A–C vs. figs 146–147 in Jäger 2020) by having two tibial apophyses, and small U-shaped embolus arising sub-centrally from the tegulum, but can be recognized by: 1) retrolateral tibial apophysis leaf-shaped in retrolateral view, with a serrated retrolateral margin; and 2) tegular apophysis complex, with a sharp projection (TAP I) prolaterally and a sharp projection (TAP III) on the embolic base (vs. retrolateral tibial apophysis triangular, tegular apophysis long triangular in *O. rossettii*).

Description. Male (holotype): Total length 9.0; DS 4.3 long, 4.2 wide; OS 4.8 long, 3.1 wide. Eyes: AME 0.31; ALE 0.22; PME 0.20; PLE 0.23; AME–AME 0.17; AME–ALE 0.06; PME–PME 0.31; PME–PLE 0.28; AME–PME 0.19; ALE–PLE 0.09;




Figure 3. *Olios uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., left male palp. **A.** Prolateral; **B.** Ventral; **C.** Retrolateral. Abbreviations: C = conductor, E = embolus, ptA = proximal tibial apophysis, RTA = retrolateral tibial apophysis, TA = tegular apophysis, TAP I–III = projection I to III of tegular apophysis. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

CH AME 0.17; CH ALE 0.21. Measurements of palp and legs: Pp: 5.4 (1.7, 0.5, 1.2, -, 2.0); I: 23.0 (6.2, 2.1, 6.5, 6.4, 1.8); II: 26.4 (7.4, 2.0, 7.8, 7.3, 1.9); III: 17.8 (5.3, 1.7, 4.8, 4.5, 1.5); IV: 19.9 (5.9, 1.6, 5.3, 5.6, 1.5). Leg formula: II-I-IV-III. Spination: Pp: 131, 000, 3000; legs: Fe I 223, II–III 323, IV 220; Pa I–IV 000; Ti I 2134, II–III 2124, IV 2014; Mt I–III 2024, IV 3034. Cheliceral furrow with 2 anterior and 5 posterior teeth.

Palp (Fig. 3A–C): As in diagnosis, ptA arising submedially from Ti, RTA arising distally from Ti. Cymbium almost 1.5 times longer than Ti. TA complicated, with a sharp projection (TAP I) on prolateral margin, a lamellar projection (TAP II) on dorsal TA, and a sharp projection (TAP III) on embolic base. C tiny. E arising sub-centrally from tegulum in 10-o'clock-position.

Colouration (Fig. 4A, B): DS orange, with thick hairs. Sternum and legs yellow. OS light yellow, dorsal with several brown marks.

Female: Unknown.

Distribution. China (Chongqing Municipality) (Fig. 8).

***Olios* species without affiliation to a species-group**

Remarks. The following species do not exhibit clear affiliation with any of the species-groups proposed to date.



Figure 4. *Olios uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., male habitus. **A.** Dorsal; **B.** Ventral. Scale bars: 2 mm.

***Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.**

<https://zoobank.org/2F2871EE-9705-455D-8510-1B39551AEDE0>

Figs 5–8

Type material. *Holotype* male: CHINA • Yunnan Province: Lincang City, Yongde County, Daxueshan Yi, Lahu, Dai Township, Daxueshan Mountain, 24.11470°N, 99.64802°E, elevation 3428 m, 13 November 2021, Huachang Li leg. *Paratype*: 1 female, with same data as for holotype.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from the type locality: Lincang City; adjective.

Diagnosis. The male of *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. resembles that of *O. feldmanni* Strand, 1915 (cf. Fig. 5A–C vs. figs 294–295 in Jäger 2020) by having a spike-like dorsal part of retrolateral tibial apophysis, complex ventral part of the retrolateral tibial apophysis, well-developed conductor arising sub-centrally from the tegulum in an 11-o'clock-position, and an embolus arising from the tegulum in a 6-o'clock-position, but can be recognized by: 1) main part of ventral part of retrolateral tibial apophysis irregularly thick forked, 2) tegulum with a small nipple-shaped tegular apophysis, and 3) conductor with two apophyses (see arrows in Fig. 5A) (vs. main part of ventral part of retrolateral tibial apophysis clavate, tegular apophysis absent, conductor without apophysis in *O. feldmanni*).




Figure 5. *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., left male palp. **A.** Prolateral, arrows point to the two apophysis of prolateral conductor; **B.** Ventral; **C.** Retrolateral, arrows point to the three apices of vRTA. Abbreviations: C = conductor, dRTA = dorsal part of retrolateral tibial apophysis, E = embolus, TA = tegular apophysis, vRTA = ventral part of retrolateral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

The female of *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. resembles that of *O. croseiceps* (Pocock, 1898) (cf. Fig. 6A–D vs. figs 236–240 in Jäger 2020) by having an ungulate-shaped epigynal field, medially located copulatory ducts and large spermathecae, but can be recognized by the fertilization ducts laterally located, the distance between the basal fertilization ducts almost equal to the width of the epigynal field (vs. fertilization ducts medially located, the distance between basal fertilization ducts almost 1/3 of the width of the epigynal field in *O. croseiceps*).

Description. Male (holotype): Total length 12.5; DS 5.7 long, 5.8 wide; OS 7.1 long, 5.0 wide. Eyes: AME 0.31; ALE 0.33; PME 0.21; PLE 0.35; AME–AME 0.24; AME–ALE 0.29; PME–PME 0.54; PME–PLE 0.49; AME–PME 0.28; ALE–PLE 0.24; CH AME 0.17; CH ALE 0.22. Measurements of palp and legs [I: missing]: Pp: 8.5 (2.6, 0.9, 1.4, -, 3.6); II: 28.0 (7.8, 2.2, 8.1, 7.7, 2.2); III: 21.3 (6.6, 2.0, 5.7, 5.2, 1.8); IV: 23.6 (7.1, 2.0, 6.4, 6.3, 1.8). Spination: Pp: 131, 000, 0001; legs: Fe II–IV 323; Pa II–IV 000; Ti II–IV 2124; Mt II–III 2024, IV 3025. Cheliceral furrow with 2 anterior and 5 posterior teeth.

Palp (Fig. 5A–C): As in diagnosis. Ti short, length of Ti almost 1/3 of cymbium. RTA well developed, vRTA with three apices in retrolateral view (see arrows in Fig. 5C). Tegulum with a small nipple-shaped TA. C tubular, with two apophyses on prolateral part (see arrows in Fig. 5A). E thick and filiform.




Figure 6. *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., female. **A.** Epigyne, ventral; **B.** Vulva, dorsal; **C.** Vulva, dorsal, the membrane between FD removed; **D.** Schematic course of right internal duct system, dorsal. Abbreviations: CD = copulatory duct, CO = copulatory opening, ES = epigynal slit, FD = fertilization duct, LL = lateral lobes, S = spermathecae. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Colouration (Fig. 7A, B): DS and sternum orange. Legs yellow to orange. OS dorsally yellow, with some brown marks, ventrally brown, with two yellow longitudinal lines.

Female (paratype): Total length 14.1; DS 6.1 long, 6.7 wide; OS 8.3 long, 5.0 wide. Eyes: AME 0.35; ALE 0.32; PME 0.17; PLE 0.29; AME–AME 0.34; AME–ALE 0.29; PME–PME 0.67; PME–PLE 0.65; AME–PME 0.38; ALE–PLE 0.30; CH AME 0.14; CH ALE 0.23. Measurements of palp and legs: Pp: 7.4 (2.2, 0.9, 1.5, -, 2.8); I: 23.5 (6.8, 2.3, 6.2, 6.2, 2.0); II: 25.9 (7.7, 2.4, 7.0, 6.8, 2.0); III: 18.7 (5.9, 1.8, 4.9, 4.4, 1.7); IV: 20.2 (6.1, 1.7, 5.3, 5.2, 1.9). Leg formula: II-I-IV-III. Spination: Pp: 131, 020,




Figure 7. *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., habitus. **A, B.** Male (**A.** Dorsal; **B.** ventral); **C, D.** Female (**C.** Dorsal; **D.** Ventral). Scale bars: 2 mm.

1111, 1012; legs: Fe I 223, II–III 323, IV 321; Pa I–IV 000; Ti I–III 2024, IV 2004; Mt I–III 2024, IV 3034. Cheliceral furrow with 2 anterior and 5 posterior teeth.

Epigyne (Fig. 6A–D): As in diagnosis. Epigynal field rounded rectangular, longer than wide. Length of ES almost 2/3 of the length of epigynal field. Internal duct system obviously sclerotized. Length of CD almost half of the length of S. S large, with rough anterior part. FD long and narrow.

Colouration (Fig. 7C–D): As in male, but generally darker.

Distribution. China (Yunnan Province) (Fig. 8).




Figure 8. Distribution map of the three new *Olios* species 1 *O. biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. 2 *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. 3 *O. lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.

Discussion

The current paper reports three new *Olios* species from China: *O. biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., from Hunan Province; *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., from Chongqing Municipality; and *O. lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., from Yunnan Province. Two of these three new species (*O. biprocessus* and *O. uniprocessus*) belong to the *rossettii*-group, which is widely distributed across Asia and Africa (Jäger 2020). Jäger and Otto (2007) divided this species-group into two sub-groups based on the morphology of male palp: sub-group A with a simple RTA, sub-group B with both an RTA and a ptA. However, the position of the subtegulum in these sub-groups is inconsistent. Therefore, we consider proposing a new division of the sub-groups based on the position of subtegulum: group A with the subtegulum being located at a 6 o'clock position of the tegulum [e.g. *O. japonicus* Jäger & Ono, 2000, *O. kiranae* Sethi & Tikader, 1988, *O. kolosvaryi* (Caporiacco, 1947), *O. mahabangkawitus* Barrion & Litsinger, 1995, *O. sericeus* (Kroneberg, 1875), *O. suavis* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876)]; group B with the subtegulum being invisible in ventral view [e.g. *O. biprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov., *O. rossettii* (Leardi, 1901), *O. tiantongensis* (Zhang & Kim, 1996), and *O. uniprocessus* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov.] (Jäger and Ono 2000; Jäger et al. 2002; Jäger and Kunz 2005; Jäger et al. 2022; Hu et al. 2025).

The copulatory organs of *Olios lincangensis* Hu, Zhang & Liu, sp. nov. show strong similarity to those of *Olios feldmanni* Strand, 1915 from Cameroon and *O. croseiceps* (Pocock, 1898) from Malawi, including a spike-like dRTA, complex vRTA, similar elongations of the conductor and embolus in the male palp, and

similarly shaped lateral lobes and internal duct system in females. These three species probably belong to the same undefined species-group. The similar palpal conformation, especially RTA of *O. feldmanni* and *O. lincangensis*, suggests that this undefined group may be closely related to *Curicaberis* Rheims, 2015 (Sparassinae) from North and Central America (Rheims 2015).

The discovery of these new species in our research further enriches the species diversity of the genus *Olios* in China and provides new information on its geographical distribution in Asia. However, the females of two of the three new species are unknown. The low population density and docile nature of *Olios* spiders likely make them difficult to collect, resulting in less research attention compared to other Chinese sparassid genera such as *Pseudopoda* Jäger, 2000 and *Sinopoda* Jäger, 1999 (Zhang et al. 2025).

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Huachang Li (Lincang, China), Jiwei Lin (Changsha, China) and Mingxin Liu (Southwest University, China) for collecting specimens. We also thank Yejie Lin (Imperial College London, United Kingdom) for his useful suggestions on species identification, and Suping Hao for her support. This manuscript benefited greatly from the subject editor Cristina Rheims, and two reviewers, Alireza Zamani and John T.D. Caleb.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This study was supported in part by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC-32300378), the Science & Technology Fundamental Resources Investigation Program of China (2023FY100200), the Hebei Natural Science Foundation (C2025108010), the Introduction of active ingredient extraction technology and research on the antioxidant properties, development, and application of *Ziziphus jujuba* var. *spinosa* (C20230302), and Key Research and Development Project of Hebei Province, Scientific and Technological Innovation Team of Modern Seed Industry of Traditional Chinese Medicine (21326312D).

Author contributions

Writing – original draft: HZ, CH. Writing – review and editing: HZ, WN, JL, CW, CH.

Author ORCIDs

He Zhang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1478-9837>

Jie Liu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7744-9744>

Caijun Wang  <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2613-8117>

Changhao Hu  <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5591-3121>

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

- Davies VT (1994) The huntsman spiders *Heteropoda* Latreille and *Yiinthi* gen. nov. (Araneae: Heteropodidae) in Australia. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 35(1): 75–122.
- Gorneau JA, Rheims CA, Moreau CS, Rayor LS (2022) Huntsman spider phylogeny informs evolution of life history, egg sacs, and morphology. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 174(107530): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107530>
- Hu JL, Ru YC (1988) On two species of Heteropodidae (Araneae) from Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. *Journal of Shandong University* 23: 92–98.
- Hu CH, Zhang H, Zhong Y (2025) New data on the huntsman spiders (Araneae, Sparassidae) of China. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 13(e153724): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.13.e153724>
- Jäger P (2020) The spider genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 (Araneae: Sparassidae) – Part 1: species groups, diagnoses, identification keys, distribution maps and revision of the *argelasius*-, *coenobitus*- and *auricomis*-groups. *Zootaxa* 4866(1): 1–119. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4866.1.1>
- Jäger P, Kunz D (2005) An illustrated key to genera of African huntsman spiders (Arachnida, Araneae, Sparassidae). *Senckenbergiana Biologica* 85(2): 163–213.
- Jäger P (2012) New species of the spider genus *Olios* Walckenaer, 1837 (Araneae: Sparassidae: Sparassinae) from Laos. *Zootaxa* 3228: 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3228.1.3>
- Jäger P, Ono H (2000) Sparassidae of Japan. I. New species of *Olios*, *Heteropoda*, and *Sinopoda*, with notes on some known species (Araneae: Sparassidae: Sparassinae and Heteropodinae). *Acta Arachnologica* 49(1): 41–60. <https://doi.org/10.2476/asjaa.49.41>
- Jäger P, Ono H (2001) First records of the genera *Pseudopoda*, *Sinopoda*, and *Olios* from Taiwan with descriptions of four new species (Araneae: Sparassidae). *Acta Arachnologica* 50(1): 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.2476/asjaa.50.21>
- Jäger P, Otto S (2007) New records of *Olios sericeus* (Kroneberg 1875) with notes on its taxonomy and biogeography (Araneae: Sparassidae: Sparassinae). *Revista Iberica de Aracnologia* 14: 19–24.
- Jäger P, Yin CM (2001) Sparassidae in China. 1. Revised list of known species with new transfers, new synonymies and type designations (Arachnida: Araneae). *Acta Arachnologica* 50(2): 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.2476/asjaa.50.123>
- Jäger P, Gao JC, Fei R (2002) Sparassidae in China 2. Species from the collection in Changchun (Arachnida: Araneae). *Acta Arachnologica* 51(1): 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.2476/asjaa.51.23>
- Jäger P, Sudhikumar AV, Tripathi R (2022) Three species of Sparassidae from India, with the first description of the males of *Olios kiranae* Sethi & Tikader, 1988 and *Thelcticopis moolampilliensis* Sunil Jose & Sebastian, 2007 (Araneae: Sparassidae). *Arachnology* 19(3): 621–627. <https://doi.org/10.13156/arac.2022.19.3.621>
- Kroneberg A (1875) Araneae. In: Fedtschenko AP (Ed.) *Puteshestvie v Tourkestan. Reisen in Turkestan. Zoologischer Theil. Nachrichten der Gesellschaft der Freunde der Naturwissenschaften zu Moskau* 19(3): 1–58.

- Moradmand M, Schönhofen AL, Jäger P (2014) Molecular phylogeny of the huntsman spider family Sparassidae with focus on the genus *Eusparassus* and notes on the RTA-clade and “Laterigradae”. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 74: 48–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.021>
- Rheims CA (2010) *Caayguara*, a new genus of huntsman spiders from the Brazilian Atlantic forest (Araneae: Sparassidae). *Zootaxa* 2630(1): 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2630.1.1>
- Rheims CA (2015) *Curicaberis*, a new genus of Sparassidae from North and Central America (Araneae, Sparassidae, Sparassinae). *Zootaxa* 4012(3): 401–446. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4012.3.1>
- Rheims CA, Jäger P (2022) Revalidation of the genus *Sadala* Simon, 1880 with the description of a new genus of Neotropical huntsman spiders (Araneae, Sparassidae). *Zootaxa* 5135(1): 1–80. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5135.1.1>
- Sun CK, Li XH, Zhang F (2011) A new species of the genus *Olios* (Araneae: Sparassidae) from Hainan Island, China. *Acta Arachnologica Sinica* 20(2): 88–90.
- Tong YF, Binford G, Rheims CA, Kuntner M, Liu J, Agnarsson I (2018) Huntsmen of the Caribbean: Multiple tests of the GAARlandia hypothesis. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 130: 259–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.09.017>
- Wang JF, Zhang ZK (1990) A new species of the genus *Heteropoda* from Yunnan Province, China. *Journal of Yunnan Normal University* 10: 91–92.
- World Spider Catalog (2025) World Spider Catalog. Version 26. Natural History Museum Bern. <http://wsc.nmbe.ch> [accessed on 8 June 2025]
- Zhang YJ, Kim JP (1996) Three new species of the family Heteropodidae from China (Arachnida: Araneae). *Korean Arachnology* 12(1): 77–85.
- Zhang JS, Pan TQ, Zhang H, Xing YQ, Yu H, Zhong Y (2025) Species delimitation of newly collected spiders of the genus *Pseudopoda* (Araneae, Sparassidae) from Honghe Hani and Yi Autonomous Prefecture, Yunnan, China: An integrated morphological and molecular approach. *Zoosystematics and Evolution* 101(1): 141–171. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zse.101.136177>